

Remarks

Applicants filed a first Amendment after Allowance on August 17, 2006, adding claims 45-51. Applicants filed a second Amendment after Allowance on August 21, 2006, adding claims 57-58 and had captioned claims 45-51 as 'previously presented.'

The Response to Rule 312 Communication indicated that these amendments were disapproved because the status identifies for claims 51-56 were incorrect, claims 57-58 were newly added, but the Response indicated that no mention had been made of them in the remarks, and claim 51 had possible 101 issues.

In a conversation with Examiner Bates on Monday, September 18, he and Applicants' Representative agreed that Applicants' Representative would file another Amendment After Allowance, listing all of the above claims as 'new,' would restate the Remarks about the newly added claims, and would present a new version of claim 51 that did not have any 101 issues.

Prior to this amendment, claims 45-50 stand allowed. Claims 51-56 match the subject matter of claims 45-50, except that they are cast in computer-readable medium format, aka Beauregard format, and were submitted in an amendment filed August 17, 2006. Newly added claims 57 and 58 also match the subject matter of claims 45-46 except that they are cast as means plus function claims. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant requests that this amendment be entered and that all claims be allowed.

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned if any questions arise concerning the above amendment or if the Examiner feels that these will result in the allowability of these claims to be questioned.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Julie L. Reed

Julie L. Reed
Reg. No. 35,349

210 SW Morrison St., Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204
503-222-3613